

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,599	10/24/2003	Ki Ryoung Jeong	8733.921.00US	7296	
30827	7590 11/10/2005	•	EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAEL V		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835		
				DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N.
	10/691,599	JEONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael V. Datskovskiy	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MOTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this commun  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 October 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ .	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	'	•	its is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-24 is/are withe 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 8-14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc rrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/691,599

Art Unit: 2835

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 3-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: Each of the claims claim a specific shape of a pin, while according to the specification (page 10, paragraph [0059] it is a piece 33, having different shapes. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Uchiyama et al.

Uchiyama et al teach 1. A liquid crystal display (LCD) device Figs. 1-3B, comprising: a system back case 200 having at least one piece holder (not numbered, see Fig. 1); a liquid crystal module 100 (LCM) having at least one receiving part 106; and at least one bracket 210 having at least one pin 218 and at least one piece (shaped as a plate center portion of the bracket), wherein at least one pin 218 is joinable to a receiving part 106 and wherein at least one piece is joinable to the piece holder.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uchiyama et al.

Uchiyama et al teach all the limitations of the claims except: said piece is provided in different shapes: oval, polygonal, hollow tubular, or as a plate. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time invention was made to employ a piece having any of these shapes, since applicant has presented no evidence that the particular configuration of the piece is significant or is anything more that one of numerous configurations a person of ordinary skill in the art would find obvious. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2, 8-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The LCD device of claim 1, further comprising a system front case having at least one protrusion for pushing a piece toward a piece holder (claim 2); wherein at least a portion of at least one pin is coated with an elastic material (claims 8-9); wherein

Art Unit: 2835

a surface of the LCD is contactable by at least one piece holder (claim 10); The LCD device of claim 1, further comprising a shaking prevention guide arranged on the system back case (claims 11-14).

- 8. The prior art made of record in the PTO Form 892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Datskovskiy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

level Jaffler

Michael V Datskovskiy Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835

11/09/2005